

**LAW OFFICES  
STAAS & HALSEY LLP**

Telephone  
(202) 434-1500

1201 New York Avenue, N.W.  
Suite 700  
Washington, D.C. 20005

Facsimile  
(202) 434-1501

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

DATE: October 21, 2004

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

OCT 21 2004

TO (FIRM): U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Group Art Unit: 2616  
ATTN: Examiner: Thai Q. Tran

FAX NO.: 703-872-9306

TELEPHONE: 703-305-4725

FROM: James G. McEwen

Re: U.S. Patent Application  
Serial No. 09/210,472  
For: RESERVED PROGRAM RECORD SETTING METHOD AND  
APPARATUS FOR A PROGRAM PREVIEW  
Inventors: Gyu-Yeong SON, et al.  
Our Docket: 1399.1001

NO. OF PAGES (Including this Cover Sheet) 4

**PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL**

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, and is intended only for the use of the addressee(s). Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying is strictly prohibited. If there are any problems with this transmission, please contact us immediately.

**COMMENTS:**

**AMENDMENT SUPPLEMENTING REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION**

**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to: Commissioner for Patents,  
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
on 21 Oct, 2004

By: Laureen E. Roder  
Date: 21 October 2004

page 1 of 4

**Docket No. 1399.1001**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re the Application of

Gyu-Yeong SON et al.

Serial No.: 09/210,472

Confirmation No. 5435

Filed: December 14, 1998

For: RESERVED PROGRAM RECORD SETTING METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR A  
PROGRAM PREVIEW

Group Art Unit: 2616

Examiner: THAI Q. TRAN

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

**OCT 21 2004**

**AMENDMENT SUPPLEMENTING REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION**

Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is further to the Response filed August 10, 2004 and entered in the Request for Continued Examination filed October 12, 2004, which is responsive to the Final Office Action mailed April 13, 2004, and is further responsive to the Examiner's statements contained in the Advisory Action mailed October 13, 2004.

The following remarks are respectfully submitted.

**REMARKS**

**INTRODUCTION:**

Claims 16-85 are pending and under consideration. Reconsideration is requested.

On page 2 of the Advisory Action, the Examiner states that the Declarations filed August 10, 2004 fail to show that the applicants exercised reasonable diligence from September 26, 1997 to October 2, 1997 as stated in the Final Office Action. The Examiner does not point to any asserted deficiencies in the Declarations, address the facts presented in the Declarations, or otherwise provide an analysis of the facts presented in the Declarations in the context of the establishment of reasonable diligence in reducing an invention to practice.

In general and as noted in at least MPEP 707.07(f), the Examiner is required to answer